UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,071	08/30/2006	Marc Van Loon	3732	6173
Striker Striker & Stenby 103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			EXAMINER	
			ZENATI,	AMAL S
nuntington, iv i	11743		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/02/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3 ■ Since this application is in condition for allowal	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become ABa g date of this communication, even if ti	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CATION. The ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). THE ply filed, may reduce any	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This	Examiner AMAL ZENATI Decars on the cover sheet with Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a recommendation will expire SIX (6) MONT a, cause the application to become AB, and a communication, even if the second seco	Art Unit 2614 Th the correspondence address ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CATION. Exply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Imply filed, may reduce any ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This	AMAL ZENATI Dears on the cover sheet with Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB g date of this communication, even if ti	2614 th the correspondence address ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CATION. Exply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Imply filed, may reduce any ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a, cause the application to become AB, g date of this communication, even if the suggest 2010.	th the correspondence address ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CATION. Seply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). simely filed, may reduce any ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MO ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become ABa g date of this communication, even if ti	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CATION. The ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). THE ply filed, may reduce any	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 A 2a This action is FINAL.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB, g date of this communication, even if ti august 2010. E action is non-final. nce except for formal matter	CATION. Apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Imply filed, may reduce any Pers, prosecution as to the merits is	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 A</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	·	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	·	
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-11 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.2 and 5-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand tion is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Aprity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/08/2010.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 1 2, and 5 11, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maatta et al (US Patent No.: 5,768,370 B1; hereinafter Maatta) in view of Hargis David (Patent No.: WO 0205610; hereinafter David, IDS filed on August 30, 2006)

Consider claim 1, Maatta clearly shows and discloses a discussion unit (10) for use in a conference system, wherein the discussion unit (10) is adapted to be connected to at least one second discussion unit (10) (fig. 1, label 20; col. 3, lines 25-35), wherein the discussion unit (10) comprises an essentially closed casing (410) (fig. 2, label 30) having a base (414) (fig. 8, label 102) and an additional narrow circumferentially closed edge (424) (fig. 8, label 100) and at least one exchangeable portion (420) (fig. 2, label 60) is configured as at least one closed rim (422) (fig. 4, label 60) that is removable mounted to the closed casing (410) so as to cover only the narrow circumferentially closed edge (424) of the closed casing (410) (abstract; fig. 1, fig. 2, fig. 4, fig. 8; col. 5, lines 42-50; and col. 7, lines 31-55); however, Maatta does not disclose the method, wherein the exchangeable portion consisting of a hollow only circumferentially closed rim (422).

Application/Control Number: 10/591,071

Art Unit: 2614

In the same field of endeavor, **David** clearly discloses the method, wherein the exchangeable

portion consisting of a hollow only circumferentially closed rim (422) (abstract; and page3, lines 1-19).

Page 3

David discloses the above for the purpose of providing means to a display device of an electronics unit to be viewed by using a removable frame as to cover only a circumferential edge (page 3, lines 1-4).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a removable frame that cover only a circumferential edge as taught by David in Maatta, in order to provide means to a display device of an electronics unit to be viewed by using a removable frame as to cover only a circumferential edge (page 3, lines 1-4).

Consider **claim 2**, **Maatta and David** clearly show the discussion unit (10), The discussion unit (10) according to the preceding claim, wherein the exchangeable portion (420) covers an outer ring-like portion of a surface of an outside part (424, 412) of the closed casing (410) (Maatta: fig. 8, labels: 60, 100, and 102; David: page3, lines 1-19; and fig).

Consider **claim 5**, **Maatta and David** clearly show the discussion unit (10), wherein the casing (410) comprises at least one operating panel (412), the operating panel (412) having at least one panel base (414) (Maatta: fig. 2, label 28), and at least one operating element (416) (Maatta: fig. 2, labels: 70 and 32), wherein the panel base (414) is at least partially surrounded by the rim (422) on its circumferential edge (Maatta: fig. 8, labels: 60, 100, and 102).

Consider **claim 6, Maatta and David** clearly show the discussion unit (10), wherein the at least one exchangeable portion (420) is mounted to the closed casing (410) by at least on interconnection (426) (Maatta: fig. 4, labels: 94; and fig. 8, labels: 92 and 104), the at least one interconnection (426) comprising at least one of the following: a form-fit interconnection; a force-fit interconnection (426),

Application/Control Number: 10/591,071 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

preferably a snap- on mounting and/or a snap-hook (428) and/or a catch (438) (Maatta: fig. 4, labels: 94; and fig. 8, labels: 92 and 104; and fig. 7).

Consider **claim 7**, **Maatta and David** clearly show the discussion unit (10), wherein the closed casing (410) comprises at least one first section (452) (Maatta: fig. 1, label 60) and at least one second section (454) (Maatta: fig. 1, label 22), the at least one first section (452) and the at least one second section (454) being adapted to be attached to each other, wherein the at least one interconnection (426) is inaccessible from the outside of the casing (410) when the at least one first Section (452) and the at least one second section (454) are attached to each other (Maatta: fig. 8, labels: 60, 92 and 104, 28; and fig. 7).

Consider **claim 8**, **Maatta and David** clearly show the discussion unit (10), wherein the at least one first section (452) and the at least one second section (454) are adapted to be attached to each other by means of screwing (Maatta: fig. 3).

Consider **claim 9, Maatta and David** clearly show the discussion unit (10), an exchangeable portion (420) adapted to be used as the at least one exchangeable portion (420) in a discussion unit (I0) (Maatta: fig. 2, labels: 60 and 28).

Consider **claim 10**, **Maatta and David** clearly show the discussion unit (10), wherein a set of exchangeable portions (420), the set comprising at least two exchangeable portions (420) according to the preceding claim, wherein at least two of the exchangeable portions (420) differ with regard to color and/or material (Maatta; fig. 8, labels; 60, 92; and col. 4, lines 45-55).

Consider **claim 11**, **Maatta and David** clearly show the discussion unit (10), wherein a conference system comprising at least two discussion units (10) according to one of the preceding claims referring to a discussion unit (10) (Maatta:col. 3, lines 3, lines 25-45).

Response to Arguments

Application/Control Number: 10/591,071

Art Unit: 2614

The present Office Action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on August 26, 2010.

Applicant has amended claim 1; claims 1, 2, and 5-11 are now pending in the present application.

Applicant's arguments with respect to amended claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view

Page 5

of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Amal Zenati whose telephone number is 571-270-1947. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis

Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Ouoc D Tran/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Amal Zenati/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614

February 23, 2011